

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**  
**SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No. 813 of 1999**

**For Approval and Signature:**

**HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.C.PATEL**

=====

1 Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed  
to see the judgment ?

2 To be referred to the Reporter or not ?

3 Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy  
of the judgment ?

4 Whether this case involves a substantial question  
of law as to the interpretation of the  
constitution of India, 1950 or any order made  
thereunder ?

5 Whether it is to be circulated to the civil judge  
?

=====

**JAGDISHCHANDRA BHAILALBHAI PATEL & 5 - Petitioner(s)**  
**Versus**  
**DY COLLECTOR (PRANT) AND THE ELECTION OFFICER & 3 -**  
**Respondent(s)**

=====

**Appearance :**

NOTICE SERVED for Petitioner(s) : 1, 3 - 4.  
NOTICE UNSERVED for Petitioner(s) : 2, 6,  
(MR JAYANT PATEL) for Petitioner(s) : 5,  
MS FALGUNI PATEL, AGP for Respondent(s) : 1 - 3.  
MR MK VAKHARIA for Respondent(s) : 4,

=====

**CORAM : HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.C.PATEL**

**Date : 06/07/2006**

**ORAL JUDGMENT**

On elevation of the learned counsel for the  
petitioners as a Judge of this court, notice was

issued to the petitioners. The endorsement on the Board shows that notice was served on the petitioners no.1, 3 and 4 but it is not served on petitioners no.2 and 6 and notice to petitioner no.5 is not received back. The petitioners no.1, 3 and 4, though served, have not entered fresh appearance. When the petition was admitted on 15<sup>th</sup> February, 1999, interim relief was not granted. It is obvious that the petition has become infructuous by efflux of time and none of the reliefs prayed for in the petition survives now. The petition is, therefore, disposed of accordingly. Rule discharged.

( M.C. Patel, J. )

hki